

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PENDLETON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TYLER LEVI SMITH,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-1493-SU

OPINION AND ORDER

MOSMAN, J.,

On April 3, 2018, Magistrate Judge Patricia Sullivan issued her Findings and Recommendation (F&R) [41], recommending that the United States's Motion for Summary Judgment [20] on its trespass claim should be GRANTED. No objections were filed.

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See*

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Sullivan's recommendation and I ADOPT the F&R [41] as my own opinion. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [20] is GRANTED. It is ordered this case proceed to trial to determine whether the United States is entitled to an injunction and/or damages, as requested in its prayer for relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2018.


MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
Chief United States District Judge